

REMARKS

Claims 3-20 are pending and under consideration.

In the Office Action of August 29, 2005, claims 3-20 were rejected. However, the examiner indicated that independent claim 20 would be allowable if amended to recite that:

- (1) each of the modules contains at least one carousel; and
- (2) the modules are interchangeable to different configurations to create different size machines.

While applicant disagrees with the examiner's assessment of the cited prior art, as a matter of expedience, and without prejudice to pursuing similar or different claims in a continuation application, claim 20 has been amended as suggested. Accordingly, claim 20 should be allowed.

Regarding the objections to claims 3, 11, 12, 18 and 19, those objections have been overcome by changing the dependencies of claims 11, 12 and 18 so that no duplicate claiming exists. Accordingly, all remaining dependent claims 3-19 are allowable.

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance with claims 3-20. Notice to that effect is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP

SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP
P.O. Box 061080
Wacker Drive Station - Sears Tower
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080
Telephone: (312) 876-8000

By: 

David R. Metzger
Registration No. 32,919